arXiv:1407.0321v1 [math.FA] 1 Jul 2014

Multivariate exact and falsified sampling approximation *

A. Krivoshein and M. Skopina

Department of Applied Mathematics and Control Processes,
St. Petersburg State University
KrivosheinAV@gmail.com, skopina@ms1167.spb.edu

Abstract

Approximation properties of the expansions $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c_k\varphi(M^jx+k)$, where M is a matrix dilation, c_k is either the sampled value of a signal f at $M^{-j}k$ or the integral average of f near $M^{-j}k$ (falsified sampled value), are studied. Error estimations in L_p-norm, $2\le p\le\infty$, are given in terms of the Fourier transform of f. The approximation order depends on how smooth is f, on the order of Strang-Fix condition for φ and on M. Some special properties of φ are required. To estimate the approximation order of falsified sampling expansions we compare them with a differential expansions $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k)\varphi(M^jx+k)$, where L is an appropriate differential operator. Some concrete functions φ applicable for implementations are constructed. In particular, compactly supported splines and band-limited functions can be taken as φ. Some of these functions provide expansions interpolating a signal at the points $M^{-j}k$.

Keywords scaling approximation, Strang-Fix condition, approximation order, sampling and differential expansions, falsified sampling expansions.

AMS Subject Classification: 41A58, 41A25, 41A63

1 Introduction

The well-known sampling theorem (Kotel'nikov's or Shannon's formula) states that

$$f(x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f(2^{-j}k)\,\frac{\sin\pi(2^jx-k)}{\pi(2^jx-k)} \tag{1}$$

for band-limited to $[-2^{j-1},2^{j-1}]$ signals (functions) f. This formula is very useful for engineers. It was just Kotel'nikov [17] and Shannon [21] who started to apply this formula for signal processing, respectively in 1933 and 1949. Up to now, an overwhelming diversity of digital signal processing applications and devices are based on it and more than successfully use it. Without sampling theorem it would be impossible to make use of internet, make photos and videos. However, mathematicians knew this formula much earlier, actually, it can be found in the papers by Ogura [20] (1920), Whittaker [27] (1915), Borel [3] (1897), and even Cauchy [11] (1841).

Nowadays (1) is also an important and interesting formula for mathematicians. Butzer with co-authors recently published several papers [6], [7], [8], where they analyze sampling theorem, its applications and development. In particular, the equivalence of sampling theorem to some other

*This research was supported by Grants from RFBR (#12-01-00216-a) and St.Petersburg State University (#9.38.62.2012).

classical formulas was established for a classes of band-limited functions. Also in [2], [9] they studied a generalization of sampling decomposition replacing the sinc-function $\operatorname{sinc}(x) := \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}$ by certain linear combinations of B-splines.

Equality (1) holds only for functions $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is supported on $[-2^{j-1}, 2^{j-1}]$. However the right hand side of (1) (the sampling expansion of f) has meaning for every continuous f with a good enough decay. The problem of approximation of f by its sampling expansions as $j \to +\infty$ was studied by many mathematicians. We mention only some of such results. Brown [4] proved that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left| f(x) - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(-2^{-j}k) \operatorname{sinc}(2^j x + k) \right| \le C \int\limits_{|\xi| > 2^{j-1}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi, \tag{2}$$

whenever the Fourier transform of f is summable on $\mathbb{R}$. It is known that the pointwise approximation by sampling expansions does not hold for arbitrary continuous f, even compactly supported. Moreover, Trynin [25] proved that there exists a continuous function vanishing outside of $(0, \pi)$ such that its deviation from the sampling expansion diverges at every point $x \in (0, \pi)$. Approximation by sampling expansions in L_p-norm was actively studied. Bardaro, Butzer, Higgins, Stens and Vinti [1], [5], proved that

$$\Delta_p := \left\| f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(-2^{-j}k) \operatorname{sinc}(2^j \cdot + k) \right\|_p \underset{j \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad 1 \le p < \infty,$$

for $f \in C \cap \Lambda_p$, where Λ_p consists of f such that

$$\sum_k |f(x_k)|^p (x_k - x_{k-1}) < \infty$$

for some class of admissible partition $\{x_k\}_k$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Also they proved that the Sobolev spaces W_p^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are subspaces of Λ_p, and that for every $f \in W_p^n$

$$\Delta_p \le \frac{C \omega(f^{(n)}, 2^{-j})_p}{2^{-jn}} \tag{3}$$

where $\omega(\ )_p$ is the modulus of continuity in L_p. The author of [22], [23] investigated approximation by sampling expansions

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(-2^{-j}k) \varphi(2^j x + k)$$

for a wide class of band-limited functions φ. For $p \ge 2$, the error analysis was given in terms of the Fourier transform of f. In particular, the approximation order was found for functions f in Sobolev spaces W_1^1 with $f' \in Lip\ \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$. In the case $\alpha < 1 - 1/p$, the order of approximation is less than 2^{-j}, which cannot be obtained from (3). Similar results were proved for the generalized sampling expansions (differential expansions)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} Lf(2^{-j}\cdot)(-k) \varphi(2^j x + k), \tag{4}$$

where $Lf := \sum_{l=0}^m \alpha_l f^{(l)}$. Also an analog of Brown's estimate (2) was prove for such expansions in [23].

Note that differential expansions (4) can be useful for engineering applications. Indeed, engineers do not deal with functions, they only have some discrete information about the function. If values of function at equidistributed nodes are known, then sampling expansion is very good for recovering the function. However, sometimes the values are known approximately. Assume that some device

gives the average value of a function f on the interval $[2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}(k+h)]$ instead of $f(2^{-j}k)$, i.e., one knows the values

$$\frac{1}{2^{-j}h}\int\limits_{2^{-j}k}^{2^{-j}(k+h)} f(t)\,dt \approx \frac{1}{2^{-j}h}\int\limits_{0}^{2^{-j}h}\sum_{l=0}^{m}\frac{1}{l!}f^{(l)}(2^{-j}k)t^l\,dt = \sum_{l=0}^{m}\frac{1}{(l+1)!}h^l\frac{d^l f(2^{-j}\cdot)}{dx^l}(k).$$

But the latter sum is nothing as $Lf(2^{-j}\cdot)(k)$, where $\alpha_l = \frac{1}{(l+1)!}h^l$.

Falsified sampling expansions (with the integral averages instead of the exact sampled values), were studied by Butzer and Lei in [10]. They compared such generalized expansions with the corresponding usual sampling expansions. Some error estimates in the L_∞-norm were presented.

The aim of the present paper is to extend the results of [22], [23] to the multivariate case. However the class of functions φ we are considering in the present paper is different. We assume that φ is in $\mathcal{L}_p$ $\widehat{\varphi}$ has some appropriate decay. (the space $\mathcal{L}_p$ is a subspace of L_p introduced by Jia in [15], see Section 3). The function sinc does not belong to this class, but the class includes some compactly supported splines, which have an important advantage in applied aspect because the corresponding sampling expansions are finite. We study convergence and approximation order of differential sampling expansions in L_p-norm, $2 \le p \le \infty$. Also we analyze error estimation for the deviation of falsified sampling expansions (with the integral average sampled values) from the corresponding differential expansion. It appeared that choosing compactly supported φ properly, it is possible to provide almost the same order of the error as the order of differential sampling approximation. Our error analysis of falsified sampling expansions is new even for the one-dimensional case.

The paper is organized as follows. Basic notations are given in Section 2. Some auxiliary lemmas are stated in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the scaling approximation. Differential and falsified sampling approximation in L_p-norm are discussed in Sections 5 and 6 respectively. In Section 7 some examples are given.

2 Notations and basic facts

$\mathbb{N}$ is the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. $\mathbb{R}^d$ is the d-dimensional Euclidean space, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ are its elements (vectors), $(x)_j = x_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, $(x, y) = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d$, $|x| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$; $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \le r\}$, $\mathbb{T}^d = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$; $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is the integer lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbb{Z}^d_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x \ge \mathbf{0}\}$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set $[\alpha] = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d}\alpha_j$, $\alpha! = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}\alpha_j!$, $\binom{\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$, $a^b = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}a_j^{b_j}$, $D^\alpha f = \frac{\partial^{[\alpha]}f}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{[\alpha]}f}{\partial^{\alpha_1}x_1 \dots \partial^{\alpha_d}x_d}$, δ_{ab} is the Kronecker delta; if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Delta_n := \{\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+, [\alpha] < n\}$.

An integer $d \times d$ matrix M whose eigenvalues are bigger than 1 in module is called a dilation matrix. Throughout the paper we consider that such a matrix M is fixed and $m = |detM|$, M^* denote the conjugate matrix to M. Since the spectrum of the operator M^{-1} is located in B_r, where $r = r(M^{-1}) := \lim\limits_{j\to+\infty}\|M^{-j}\|^{1/j}$ is the spectral radius of M^{-1}, and there exists at least one point of the spectrum on the boundary of B_r, we have

$$\|M^{-j}\| \le C_{M,\theta}\,\theta^{-j}, \quad j \ge 0, \tag{5}$$

for every positive number θ which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of M. In particular, we can take $\theta > 1$, then

$$\lim_{j\to+\infty}\|M^{-j}\| = 0. \tag{6}$$

A matrix M is called isotropic if it is similar to a diagonal matrix such that numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are placed on the main diagonal and $|\lambda_1| = \dots = |\lambda_d|$. Thus, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are eigenvalues of M and the spectral radius of M is equal to $|\lambda|$, where λ is one of the eigenvalues of M. Note that if matrix M is isotropic then M^* is isotropic and M^j is isotropic for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is well known that for an isotropic matrices M and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$C_1^M |\lambda|^j \le \|M^j\| \le C_2^M |\lambda|^j, \tag{7}$$

where λ is one of the eigenvalues of M.

If φ is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\varphi_{jk}(x) := m^{j/2} \varphi(M^j x + k), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

L_p denotes $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. We use W_p^n, $1 \le p \le \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to denote the Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. the set of functions whose derivatives up to order n are in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with usual Sobolev norm.

If f, g are functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $f\overline{g} \in L_1$, then $\langle f, g\rangle := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\overline{g}$.

For any function f, we set $f^-(\xi) := f(-\xi)$.

If F is a 1-periodic (with respect to each variable) function and $F \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then $\widehat{F}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} F(x) e^{-2\pi i (k,\, x)}\, dx$ is its k-th Fourier coefficient. If $f \in L_1$, then its Fourier transform is $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i (x, \xi)}\, dx$.

Denote by S the Schwartz class of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The dual space of S is S', i.e. S' is the space of tempered distributions. The basic facts from distribution theory can be found, e.g., in [26]. Suppose $f \in S$, $\varphi \in S'$, then $\langle \varphi, f\rangle := \overline{\langle f, \varphi\rangle} := \varphi(f)$. If $\varphi \in S'$, then $\widehat{\varphi}$ denotes its Fourier transform defined by $\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\varphi}\rangle = \langle f, \varphi\rangle$, $f \in S$. If $\varphi \in S'$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then we define φ_{jk} by $\langle f, \varphi_{jk}\rangle = \langle f_{-j,-M^{-j}k}, \varphi\rangle, \forall f \in S$.

3 Auxiliary results

Here and in what follows M denotes a dilation matrix. Given $\delta > 0$, we introduce a special notation for the following integrals if they make sense

$$\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) = \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,\gamma,q}(g, M, \delta) = \int\limits_{|M^{*-j}\xi| < \delta} |\xi|^{q\gamma} |g(\xi)|^q d\xi, \quad \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) = \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g, M, \delta) = \int\limits_{|M^{*-j}\xi| \ge \delta} |\xi|^{q\gamma} |g(\xi)|^q d\xi.$$

Lemma 1 *Let $1 \le q < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be a tempered distribution whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \le C_{\widetilde{\varphi}} |\xi|^N$ for almost all $\xi \notin \mathbb{T}^d$, $N = N(\widetilde{\varphi}) \ge 0$, and $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \le C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ for almost all $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$. Suppose $g \in L_q$, $g(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, where $\varepsilon > 0$; $\gamma \in (N + \frac{d}{p}, N + \frac{d}{p} + \epsilon)$ for $q \ne 1$, $\gamma = N$ for $q = 1$, and set*

$$G_j(\xi) = G_j(\widetilde{\varphi}, g, \xi) := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(M^{*j}(\xi + l)) \overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi + l)}.$$

Then G_j is a 1-periodic function in $L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\widehat{\langle g, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle} = m^{j/2} \widehat{G}_j(k)$, and for every $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$\left\| G_j - g(M^{*j}\cdot) \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}} \right\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le m^{-j} (C_{\gamma,\, \widetilde{\varphi}})^q \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{8}$$

Proof. First we will prove (8). For $q>1$, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\|G_j - g(M^{*j}\cdot)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}\right\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le C^q_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{|\xi+l|^\gamma |g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))|}{|\xi+l|^{\gamma-N}} \right|^q d\xi \le$$

$$C^q_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq\mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{|\xi+l|^{(\gamma-N)p}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq\mathbf{0}} |(\xi+l)|^{\gamma q} |g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))|^q d\xi =$$

$$(C_{\gamma,\,\widetilde{\varphi}})^q \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathbb{T}^d} |\xi|^{\gamma q} |g(M^{*j}\xi)|^q d\xi \le (C_{\gamma,\,\widetilde{\varphi}})^q \int\limits_{|\xi|\ge\delta} |\xi|^{\gamma q} |g(M^{*j}\xi)|^q d\xi \le$$

$$m^{-j} (C_{\gamma,\,\widetilde{\varphi}})^q \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\, \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g).$$

If $q=1$, then

$$\left\|G_j - g(M^{*j}\cdot)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}\right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le C_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq\mathbf{0}} |\xi+l|^N |g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))| d\xi =$$

$$C_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathbb{T}^d} |\xi|^\gamma |g(M^{*j}\xi)| d\xi \le C_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \int\limits_{|\xi|\ge\delta} |\xi|^\gamma |g(M^{*j}\xi)| d\xi \le m^{-j} C_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \|M^{*-j}\|^\gamma\, \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,1}(g).$$

Combining (8) with

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} |g(M^{*j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q d\xi \le (C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^q \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} |g(M^{*j}\xi)|^q d\xi \le (C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^q m^{-j} \|g\|^q_q,$$

using Minkowski's inequality and taking into account that the integral $\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g)$ is convergent, we conclude that $G_j \in L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

It remains to show that $\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle = m^{j/2}\widehat{G}_j(k)$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, but this is true because

$$\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle = m^{-j/2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\xi)} e^{-2\pi i\,(k,\,M^{*-j}\xi)} d\xi = m^{j/2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} G_j(\xi) e^{-2\pi i\,(k,\,\xi)} d\xi = m^{j/2}\widehat{G}_j(k),$$

where the last but one equality is justified by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem with taking into account the summability of the dominating function $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+l)}|$ on $\mathbb{T}^d$, which follows from the proof of (8) for $q=1$. $\diamond$

Let $1\le p\le\infty$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_p$ the set

$$\mathcal{L}_p := \left\{ f\in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} := \left\| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |f(\cdot+k)| \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \infty \right\}.$$

With the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$, $\mathcal{L}_p$ is a Banach space. The simple properties are: $\mathcal{L}_1 = L_1$, $\|f\|_p \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_q} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_p}$ for $1\le q\le p\le\infty$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_p \subset L_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_p \subset \mathcal{L}_q$ for $1\le q\le p\le\infty$. If $f\in L_p$ and compactly supported then $f\in\mathcal{L}_p$ for $p\ge 1$. If f decays fast enough, i.e. there exist constants $C>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $|f(x)|\le C(1+|x|)^{-d-\varepsilon}$ $\forall x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, then $f\in\mathcal{L}_\infty$. Also we need the following statement (see [15, Theorem 2.1])

Proposition 2 *Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a sequence a is in $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a_k \varphi_{0k} \right\|_p \le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \|a\|_{\ell_p}.$$

Lemma 3 *Let g and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be as in Lemma 1, Suppose $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$. Then the series $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{jk}\rangle \varphi_{jk}$ converges unconditionally in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Suppose $2 \le p < \infty$. Because of Lemma 1 and the Hausdorf-Young inequality, we have

$$\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = m^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{G}_j(k)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le m^{\frac{j}{2}} \|G_j\|_q < \infty,$$

where G_j is a function from Lemma 1. By Proposition 2, we can state that for every finite subset Ω of $\mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\left\| \sum_{k\in\Omega} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p = m^{\frac{j}{2}-\frac{j}{p}} \left\| \sum_{k\in\Omega} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \varphi_{0k} \right\|_p \le m^{\frac{j}{2}-\frac{j}{p}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \left(\sum_{k\in\Omega} |\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The series $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle|^p$ is convergent, which yields that $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \varphi_{jk}$ converges unconditionally.

Similarly, if $p = \infty$, then for every finite subset Ω of $\mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\left\| \sum_{k\in\Omega} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \varphi_{jk} \right\|_\infty = m^{\frac{j}{2}} \left\| \sum_{k\in\Omega} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \varphi_{0k} \right\|_\infty \le m^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \sup_{k\in\Omega} |\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle| = m^j \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \sup_{k\in\Omega} |\widehat{G}_j(k)|,$$

and the unconditional convergence follows from the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem. $\diamond$

4 Scaling Approximation

Scaling operator $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle \varphi_{jk}$ is a good tool of approximation for many appropriate pairs of functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$. We are interested in such operators, where $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a tempered distribution, e.g., the delta-function or a linear combination of its derivatives. In this case the inner product $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$ has meaning only for functions f in S'. To extend the class of functions f one can replace $\langle f, \widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}\rangle$ by $\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{jk}\rangle$ or by $\langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{jk}\rangle$, where $f = \widehat{g}^-$, and in this case we set

$$Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}_{jk}\rangle \varphi_{jk}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

In this section we study approximation properties of such operators for a large class of distributions $\widetilde{\varphi}$.

A function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to satisfy the *Strang-Fix condition of order n* if $D^\beta \widehat{f}(k) = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\beta \in \Delta_n$.

Theorem 4 *Let $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Suppose*

$\star$ *$\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$ and there exists $B_\varphi > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q < B_\varphi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{9}$$

- $\star$ *$\widetilde{\varphi}$ be a tempered distribution whose Fourier transform $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \le C_{\widetilde{\varphi}}|\xi|^N$ for almost any $\xi \notin \mathbb{T}^d$, $N = N(\widetilde{\varphi}) \ge 0$, and $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \le C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ for almost all $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$;*
- $\star$ *$f = \widehat{g}^-$, where $g \in L_q$, $g(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then

- *if $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is continuous at zero and $\widehat{\varphi}(0)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0) = 1$, then the Strang-Fix condition of order 1 for φ is necessary and sufficient for the convergence of $Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)$ to f in the L_p-norm as $j \to +\infty$;*
- *if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order n on $\{|\xi| < \delta\}$, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order n on $\{|\xi + l| < \delta\}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$; the function $\sum\limits_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq\mathbf{0}} |D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(\xi + l)|$ is bounded on $\{|\xi| < \delta\}$ for $[\beta] = n$; $D^\beta(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})(0) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_n$; the Strang-Fix condition of order n holds for φ; $\gamma \in (N + \frac{d}{p}, N + \frac{d}{p} + \epsilon)$ for $p \neq \infty$, and $\gamma = N$ for $p = \infty$, then*

$$\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p^q \le C_1 \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) + C_2 \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g), \tag{10}$$

where C_1 and C_2 do not depend on j and f.

Proof. First of all we note that $\widehat{\varphi} \in L_q$ due to (9), and $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous because $\varphi \in L_1$. Let $G_j(\xi) = G_j(\widetilde{\varphi}, g, \xi)$ be defined as in Lemma 1. This function is 1-periodic and in $L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)$. The function $G_j(M^{*-j}\cdot)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)$ is in L_q because, due to (9),

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G_j(M^{*-j}\xi)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\xi)|^q \, d\xi = m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} |G_j(\xi)|^q \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q \, d\xi \le m^j B_\varphi \|G_j\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Hence, its Fourier transform is in L_p due to the Hausdorf-Young inequality. On the other hand, $Q_j(f) \in L_p$ by Lemma 3, and, due to Carleson's theorem (with the convergence of Fourier series over the cubic partial sums),

$$G_j(M^{*-j}\xi)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{G}_j(k) e^{2\pi i (k, M^{*-j}\xi)} \widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\xi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \widehat{\varphi_{jk}}(\xi) \quad a.e.$$

The latter function coincides with $\widehat{Q_j(f)}$ as a tempered distribution. It follows from the du Bois-Reymond lemma that the Fourier transform of $G_j(M^{*-j}\cdot)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)$ coincides with $Q_j(f)^-$ almost everywhere. Applying the Hausdorf-Young inequality we obtain

$$\|f - Q_j(f)\|_p = \|f^- - Q_j(f)^-\|_p \le \left\|g - G_j(M^{*-j}\cdot)\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)\right\|_q \le$$

$$\left\|g(1 - \widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\cdot)})\right\|_q + \left\|\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot) \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} g(\cdot + M^{*j}l)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\cdot + l)}\right\|_q. \tag{11}$$

Let us fix dome $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and consider the first summand in (11). Changing the variable we obtain

$$\left\|g(1 - \widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\cdot)})\right\|_q^q = m^j \left\|g(M^{*j}\cdot)(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}})\right\|_q^q =$$

$$m^j \int\limits_{|\xi|\ge\delta} |g(M^{*j}\xi)(1 - \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)})|^q d\xi + m^j \int\limits_{|\xi|<\delta} |g(M^{*j}\xi)(1 - \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)})|^q d\xi =: I_1 + I_2. \tag{12}$$

Since there exists $C_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi}}$ and $C'_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi}}$ such that $|1-\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|\le C_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi}}|\xi|^{\gamma}$ for almost all $\xi\notin\mathbb{T}^d$ and $|1-\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|\le C'_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi}}$ for almost all $\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d$, then we have

$$I_1\le (C_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi}})^q m^j\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathbb{T}^d}|\xi|^{\gamma q}|g(M^{*j}\xi)|^q d\xi+$$

$$(C'_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi}})^q\delta^{-\gamma q}m^j\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d\setminus\{|\xi|<\delta\}}|\xi|^{\gamma q}|g(M^{*j}\xi)|^q d\xi\le C_{I_1}\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{13}$$

Thus, $I_1\to 0$ as $j\to+\infty$. The second integral I_2 is

$$I_2=m^j\int\limits_{|\xi|<\delta}|g(M^{*j}\xi)(1-\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)})|^q d\xi=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\chi_{M^{*j}\{|\xi|<\delta\}}(\xi)|g(\xi)(1-\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\xi)})|^q d\xi.$$

By the additional assumptions of the first statement, the integrand tends to zero as $j\to+\infty$ for each $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Since the integrand has a summable majorant, by Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that $I_2\to 0$ as $j\to+\infty$. Thus, by (12),

$$\left\|g(1-\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\cdot)})\right\|_q\to 0\ as\ j\to+\infty. \tag{14}$$

Next, the second summand in (11) is

$$\left\|\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot)\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,l\neq 0}g(\cdot+M^{*j}l)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\cdot+l)}\right\|_q^q=m^j\left\|\widehat{\varphi}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,l\neq 0}g(M^{*j}(\cdot+l))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\cdot+l)}\right\|_q^q=$$

$$m^j\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d}|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q\left|\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,l\neq 0}g(M^{*j}(\xi+l+k))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+l+k)}\right|^q d\xi=$$

$$m^j\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d}|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q\left|\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,l\neq k}g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+l)}\right|^q d\xi=$$

$$m^j\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d}|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)|^q\left|\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,l\neq 0}g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+l)}\right|^q d\xi+$$

$$m^j\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d,k\neq\mathbf{0}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q\left|\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,l\neq k}g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+l)}\right|^q d\xi=:J_1+J_2. \tag{15}$$

To estimate J_1 we use (9), and then, by (8),

$$J_1\le B_{\varphi}m^j\left\|G_j-g(M^{*j}\cdot)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}\right\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)}\le C_{J_1}\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{16}$$

Thus, $J_1\to 0$ as $j\to+\infty$. Using Minkowski's inequality, the second summand J_2 can be estimated

as follows

$$J_2^{1/q} \le \left(m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q \left| \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} g(M^{*j}(\xi+l))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+l)} \right|^q d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} +$$

$$\left(m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q |g(M^{*j}(\xi+k))\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi+k)}|^q d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} +$$

$$\left(m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q |g(M^{*j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} =: (J_{21})^{1/q} + (J_{22})^{1/q} + (J_{23})^{1/q}. \tag{17}$$

To estimate J_{21} again we use (9), and then, by (8),

$$J_{21} \le B_\varphi m^j \left\| G_j - g(M^{*j}\cdot)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}} \right\|^q_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le C_{J_{21}} \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{18}$$

Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is bounded, similarly to (13), we have

$$J_{22} = m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathbb{T}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) g(M^{*j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q d\xi \le C_{J_{22}} \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{19}$$

Thus, $J_{21} \to 0$, $J_{22} \to 0$, as $j \to +\infty$.

The third summand J_{23} can be represented as

$$J_{23} = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{M^{*j}\mathbb{T}^d}(\xi) \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\xi+k)|^q |g(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\xi)}|^q d\xi$$

By the additional assumptions of the first statement, the integrand tends to $\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^q |g(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0})}|^q$ as $j \to +\infty$ for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since the integrand has a summable majorant, by Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$J_{23} \to \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^q |\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0})}|^q \|g\|_q^q, \quad j \to +\infty.$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \widehat{\varphi}(M^{*-j}\cdot) \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} g(\cdot + M^{*j}l)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{*-j}\cdot + l)} \right\|^q_q \to \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^q |\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\mathbf{0})}|^q \|g\|_q^q, \ \text{as } j \to +\infty,$$

which together with (11) and (14) yields that the Strang-Fix condition for φ is necessary and sufficient for $\|f - Q_j(f)\|_p \to 0$ as $j \to +\infty$.

Assume now that all assumptions of the second statement are satisfied. Set

$$B_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi},n} := \sup_{|\xi|<\delta} \left(\sum_{[\beta]=n} \frac{|D^\beta[\widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}](\xi)|}{\beta!} \right)^q, \quad B_{\varphi,n} = \sup_{|\xi|<\delta} \left(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq \mathbf{0}} \left| \sum_{[\beta]=n} \frac{D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(\xi+l)}{\beta!} \right|^q \right).$$

If $|\xi| < \delta$, $l \neq \mathbf{0}$, then, by the Taylor formula with the remainder in Lagrange's form, we get

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+l) = \sum_{[\beta]=n} \frac{\xi^\beta}{\beta!} D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(l+t\xi), \quad 1 - \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)} = -\sum_{[\beta]=n} \frac{\xi^\beta}{\beta!} D^\beta[\widehat{\varphi}\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}}](r\xi),$$

for some $t, r \in (0,1)$, and hence

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\neq \mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+l)|^q \le B_{\varphi,n}|\xi|^{nq}, \quad |1-\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q \le B_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi},n}|\xi|^{nq}.$$

It follows that

$$m^j \int\limits_{|\xi|<\delta} |g(M^{*j}\xi)(1-\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)})|^q d\xi \le B_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi},n}\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq}\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g),$$

and

$$m^j \int\limits_{|\xi|<\delta} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq\mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q |g(M^{*j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q\, d\xi \le B_{\varphi,n}(C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^q\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq}\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g).$$

Combining these relations with (13) and (9) respectively, we obtain

$$m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(M^{*j}\xi)(1-\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)})|^q d\xi \le B_{\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi},n}\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq}\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) + C_{I_1}\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g)$$

and

$$m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d, k\neq\mathbf{0}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q |g(M^{*j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q d\xi \le B_{\varphi,n}(C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^q\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq}\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) +$$

$$B_\varphi m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d\setminus\{|\xi|<\delta\}} |g(M^{*j}\xi)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)}|^q d\xi \le (C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}})^q\,(B_{\varphi,n}\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq}\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) + B_\varphi\delta^{-\gamma q}\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g)).$$

These estimations together with (11)-(13) and (15)-(19) complete the proof of (10). $\diamond$

Note that the above proof is based on the technique employed by Jetter and Zhou [13], [14], and developed in [18].

Unfortunately it is complicated to estimate the approximation order of $Q_j(\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi},f)$ from (10) in Theorem 4 for the general case. But we now will give such estimations for some special cases.

Theorem 5 *Let M be an isotropic matrix dilation and λ be its eigenvalue, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Suppose all conditions of Theorem 4 are fulfilled. Then*

$$\|f-Q_j(\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi},f)\|_p \le \begin{cases} C|\lambda|^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} & \text{if } n > N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon \\ Cj^{1/q}|\lambda|^{-jn} & \text{if } n = N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon\,, \\ C|\lambda|^{-jn} & \text{if } n < N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

where C does not depends on j.

Proof. Throughout the proof we denote by C and C' different constants which do not depend on j. Since $g(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$, there exists a big enough number $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|g(\xi)| \le C|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon}$ for any $|\xi| > A$. By Theorem 4 inequality (10) is valid. Let us consider the first term in (10). Since the set $\{|M^{*-j}\xi| \ge \delta\}$ is a subset of $\{|\xi| \ge \delta/\|M^{*-j}\|\}$, we have

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) = \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \int\limits_{|M^{*-j}\xi|\ge\delta} |\xi|^{\gamma q}|g(\xi)|^q d\xi \le C\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \int\limits_{|\xi|\ge\delta/\|M^{*-j}\|} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{(N+d+\varepsilon-\gamma)q}},$$

for all $j > j_0$, where $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\frac{\delta}{\|M^{*-j_0}\|} > A$. Using general polar coordinates with $\rho := |\xi|$ and taking into account that $(N + d + \varepsilon - \gamma)q > d$, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{|\xi| \geq \delta/\|M^{*-j}\|} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{(N+d+\varepsilon-\gamma)q}} \leq C \int\limits_{\delta/\|M^{*-j}\|}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\rho^{(N+d+\varepsilon-\gamma)q-d+1}} d\rho \leq C' \|M^{*-j}\|^{q(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon-\gamma)},$$

and, by (7),

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) \leq C \|M^{*-j}\|^{q(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} \leq C' |\lambda|^{-jq(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)}. \tag{20}$$

Next, let us consider the second term in (10). Since the set $\{|M^{*-j}\xi| < \delta\}$ is a subset of $\{|\xi| < \|M^{*j}\|\delta\}$, we get

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) = \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \int\limits_{|M^{*-j}\xi| < \delta} |\xi|^{nq} |g(\xi)|^q d\xi \leq C \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \int\limits_{|\xi| < \|M^{*j}\|\delta} |\xi|^{nq} |g(\xi)|^q d\xi \leq$$

$$C' \left(\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} + \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \int\limits_{A < |\xi| < \|M^{*j}\|\delta} |\xi|^{(n-N-d-\varepsilon)q} d\xi \right)$$

for all $j > j_1$, where $j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $A < \|M^{*j_1}\|\delta$. Using general polar coordinates with $\rho := |\xi|$ we obtain

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) \leq C \left(\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} + \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \int\limits_{A}^{\|M^{*j}\|\delta} \rho^{(n-N-d-\varepsilon)q+d-1} d\rho \right).$$

Let $J := \max\{j_0, j_1\}$, $j > J$. If $n < N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$, then the integral

$$\int\limits_{A}^{+\infty} \rho^{(n-N-d-\varepsilon)q+d-1} d\rho$$

is convergent, and using (7), we have $\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) \leq C|\lambda|^{-jnq}$. Therefore, together with (20) the final estimate in this case is $\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p \leq C|\lambda|^{-jn}$.

Let $n = N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$. Again using (7), we have

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) \leq C \left(\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} + \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \ln \|M^{*j}\| \right) \leq C' j |\lambda|^{-jnq}.$$

Together with (20) the final estimate in this case is $\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p \leq C j^{1/q} |\lambda|^{-jn}$.

Similarly, if $n > N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$, then

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g) \leq C \left(|\lambda|^{-jnq} + |\lambda|^{-jq(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} \right) \leq C' |\lambda|^{-jq(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)},$$

Therefore, together with (20) the final estimate in this case is $\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p \leq C|\lambda|^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)}$. $\diamond$

Observing the proof of Theorem 5 for the case $n < N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$, we see that the estimate does not depend on $\|M^{*j}\|$. So, in this case, we can repeat the proof for arbitrary matrix dilation M with using (5) instead of (7), which leads to the following statement.

Theorem 6 *Suppose all conditions of Theorem 4 are fulfilled, and* $n < N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$. *Then*

$$\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p \le C\theta^{-jn} \tag{21}$$

for every positive number θ *which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of* M *and some* C *which does not depend on* j.

The latter theorem does not provide approximation order of $Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)$ better than θ^{-jn} even for very smooth functions f. This drawback can be fixed under stronger restrictions on φ.

Theorem 7 *Let* $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. *Suppose*

- $\star$ $\widetilde{\varphi}$ *be a tempered distribution whose Fourier transform* $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ *is a function on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \le C_{\widetilde{\varphi}}|\xi|^{N_{\widetilde{\varphi}}}$ *for almost any* $\xi \notin \mathbb{T}^d$, $N_{\widetilde{\varphi}} > 0$, *and* $|\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi)| \le C'_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ *for almost all* $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$;
- $\star$ $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, *the function* $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q$ *is bounded, and there exists* $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ *such that* $\overline{\widehat{\varphi}}(\xi)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi) = 1$ *a.e. on* $\{|\xi| < \delta\}$, $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = 0$ *a.e. on* $\{|l - \xi| < \delta\}$ *for all* $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;
- $\star$ $f = \widehat{g^-}$, *where* $g \in L_q$, $g(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ *as* $|\xi| \to \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then

$$\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p \le C\theta^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} \tag{22}$$

for every positive number θ *which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of* M *and some* C *which does not depend on* j.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in (N + \frac{d}{p}, N + \frac{d}{p} + \epsilon)$ for $p \ne \infty$, and $\gamma = N$ for $p = \infty$. First we prove that

$$\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p^q \le C'\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g), \tag{23}$$

where C' does not depend on j and f. For any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, function g can be approximated in $L_q(K)$ by infinitely smooth functions supported on K. So, given j, one can find a function $\widehat{F}_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{F}_j \subset \{|M^{-j}\xi| < \delta\}$ and

$$\int\limits_{|M^{-j}\xi|<\delta} |g(\xi) - \widehat{F}_j(\xi)|^q d\xi \le \left(\sup_{|M^{-j}\xi|<\delta} |\xi|^{Nq}\right)^{-1} \|M^{*-j}\|^{(\gamma-N)q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{24}$$

This yields

$$\mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,N,q}(g - \widehat{F}) = \int\limits_{|M^{-j}\xi|<\delta} |\xi|^{Nq}|(g(\xi) - \widehat{F}_j(\xi))|^q d\xi \le \|M^{*-j}\|^{(\gamma-N)q}\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g). \tag{25}$$

Let F_j be a function whose Fourier transform is $\widehat{F}_j$. Evidently $F_j^- = \widehat{\widehat{F}}_j$, and, due to Carleson's theorem and Lemma 1, we have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \widehat{F}, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \widehat{\varphi_{jk}}(\xi) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{F}_j(\xi + M^j l)\overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(M^{-i}\xi + l)}\widehat{\varphi}(M^{-j}\xi)$$

If $l \ne 0$ and $F_j(\xi + M^j l) \ne 0$, then $|M^{-j}\xi + l| < \delta$ and hence $\widehat{\varphi}(M^{-j}\xi) = 0$. So,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \widehat{F}_j, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}}\rangle \widehat{\varphi_{jk}}(\xi) = \widehat{F}_j(\xi),$$

which yields that $Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, F_j) = F_j$. It follows that

$$\|f - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f)\|_p = \|f - F_j - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f - F_j)\|_p.$$

Since the assumptions of the second statement in Theorem 4 is satisfied for every n, in particular, for $n = N$, we obtain

$$\|f - F_j - Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f - F_j)\|_p \le C_1 \|M^{*-j}\|^{Nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,N,q}(g - \widehat{F}) + C_2 \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g - \widehat{F}).$$

To complete the proof of (23) it remains to use (25) and take into account that $\mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g - \widehat{F}) = \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g)$.

Using the first inequality in (20), we have

$$\|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) \le C_3 \|M^{*-j}\|^{q(N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon)},$$

which yields (21) due to (5). $\diamond$

5 Differential expansions

The above theorems are proved for a wide class of distributions $\widetilde{\varphi}$. The δ-function can be taken as $\widetilde{\varphi}$ with $N = 0$. If $f = \widehat{g^-}$ and g as in Theorem 4, then $g \in L_1$ and

$$f(-M^{-j}k) = \widehat{g}(M^{-j}k) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\xi) e^{-2\pi i (k, M^{*-j}\xi)}\, d\xi = m^{j/2} \langle g, \widehat{\delta}_{jk} \rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Hence

$$Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f) = m^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(-M^{-j}k) \varphi_{jk},$$

i.e. Q_j is a sampling expansion of f in this case.

Consider next a differential operator L defined by

$$Lf := \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{N+1}} a_\beta D^\beta f, \quad a_\beta \in \mathbb{C}, a_{\mathbf{0}} \ne 0, \tag{26}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The action of operator D^β is associated with the action of the corresponding derivative of the δ-function. In more detail, let $f = \widehat{g^-}$, $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{N+\alpha} |g(\xi)| d\xi < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, f is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^d$ up to the order N. Then for $\beta \in \Delta_{N+1}$

$$D^\beta f(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k) = D^\beta \widehat{g}(M^{-j}\cdot)(k) = (-1)^{[\beta]} m^j D^\beta \widehat{g(M^{*j}\cdot)}(k) =$$

$$(-1)^{[\beta]} m^j \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(M^{*j}\xi)(-2\pi i \xi)^\beta e^{-2\pi i (k,\xi)} d\xi = (-1)^{[\beta]} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\xi) \overline{(2\pi i M^{*-j}\xi)^\beta e^{2\pi i (M^{-j}k, \xi)}} d\xi =$$

$$(-1)^{[\beta]} m^{j/2} \langle g, \widehat{D^\beta \delta_{jk}} \rangle$$

If now $\widetilde{\varphi} = \sum\limits_{\beta \in \Delta_{N+1}} \overline{a_\beta} (-1)^{[\beta]} D^\beta \delta$ (we say that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ *is associated with* L), then

$$m^{-j/2} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k) = \langle g, \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}_{jk}} \rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Hence

$$Q_j(\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}, f) = m^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k) \varphi_{jk}.$$

Thus, choosing appropriate function φ we can provide all conditions of Theorem 4 which together with Theorems 5 and 6 gives the following statement.

Theorem 8 *Let $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p+1/q=1$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\gamma \in (N+\frac{d}{p}, N+\frac{d}{p}+\epsilon)$ for $p \ne \infty$, and $\gamma = N$ for $p=\infty$, a differential operator L be defined by (26). Suppose*

- *$\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the distribution associated with L;*
- *$\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$ and there exists $B_\varphi > 0$ such that $\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q < B_\varphi \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$; there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in (0,1/2)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order n on $\{|\xi|<\delta\}$, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is boundedly differentiable up to order n on $\{|\xi+l|<\delta\}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$; the function $\sum\limits_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\, l\ne\mathbf{0}} |D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(\xi+l)|$ is bounded on $\{|\xi|<\delta\}$ for $[\beta]=n$; $D^\beta(1-\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})(0)=0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_n$; the Strang-Fix condition of order n holds for φ;*
- *$f = \widehat{g^-}$, where $g \in L_q$, $g(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then

$$\left\| f - m^{-j/2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k)\varphi_{jk} \right\|_p^q \le C_1 \|M^{*-j}\|^{\gamma q} \mathcal{I}^{Out}_{j,\gamma,q}(g) + C_2 \|M^{*-j}\|^{nq} \mathcal{I}^{In}_{j,n,q}(g), \qquad (27)$$

where C_1 and C_2 do not depend on j and f.

If, in addition, $n < N + \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon$, then

$$\left\| f - m^{-j/2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k)\varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \le C\theta^{-jn}$$

for every positive number θ which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of M and some C which does not depend on j.

If, in addition, M is an isotropic matrix dilation and λ is its eigenvalue then

$$\left\| f - m^{-j/2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k)\varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \le \begin{cases} C|\lambda|^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} & \text{if } n > N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon \\ Cj^{1/q}|\lambda|^{-jn} & \text{if } n = N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon \\ C|\lambda|^{-jn} & \text{if } n < N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon \end{cases}, \qquad (28)$$

where C does not depends on j.

Similarly, the following statement follows from Theorem 7.

Theorem 9 *Let $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1/p+1/q=1$, a differential operator L be defined by (26). Suppose*

- *$\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the distribution associated with L;*
- *$\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$, the function $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q$ is bounded, and there exists $\delta \in (0,1/2)$ such that $\overline{\widehat{\varphi}}(\xi)\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi) = 1$ a. e. on $\{|\xi|<\delta\}$, $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)=0$ a.e. on $\{|l-\xi|<\delta\}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$;*
- *$f = \widehat{g^-}$, where $g \in L_q$, $g(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then

$$\left\| f - m^{-j/2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(-k)\varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \le C\theta^{-j(N+\frac{d}{p}+\varepsilon)} \qquad (29)$$

for every positive number θ which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of M and some C which does not depend on j.

6 Falsified sampling expansions

If exact sampled values of a signal f are known then sampling expansions are very useful for applications. Theorems 8 and 9 provide error estimates for this case. We now discuss what happens if exact sampled values at the points $M^{-j}k$ are replaced by the following average values

$$\frac{1}{V_h}\int\limits_{B_h} f(M^{-j}k+M^{-j}t)dt = \frac{m^j}{V_h}\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h} f(M^{-j}k+t)dt =: \mathbf{Av}_h(f, M^{-j}k), \tag{30}$$

where V_h is the volume of the ball B_h, $h>0$. In the case $d=1$, $M=2$, it easily follows from the Tailor formula (see Introduction) that

$$\frac{1}{2^{-j}h}\int\limits_{2^{-j}k}^{2^{-j}(k+h)} f(t)\,dt \approx Lf(2^{-j}\cdot)(k),$$

where L is the differential operator (26) with $\alpha_l = \frac{1}{(l+1)!}h^l$.

We need the following lemma to prove a similar statement for the averages (30).

Lemma 10 *Let $N\in\mathbb{N}$, function f be continuously differentiable up to order N, A be a real-valued $d\times d$ matrix. Then for all $t,x\in\mathbb{R}^d$*

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{D^\beta f(Ax)}{\beta!}(At)^\beta = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{D^\beta[f(Ax)]}{\beta!}t^\beta.$$

Proof. Firstly, we introduce some additional notations. Let $p\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, $O_p=\{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d : [\beta]=p\}$. Assume that the set O_p is ordered by lexicographic order. Namely, $(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_d)$ is less than $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d)$ in lexicographic order if $\beta_j=\alpha_j$ for $j=1,\dots,i-1$ and $\beta_i<\alpha_i$ for some i. Let $S(A,p)$ be a $(\#O_p)\times(\#O_p)$ matrix which is uniquely determined by

$$\frac{(At)^\alpha}{\alpha!} = \sum_{\beta\in O_p}[S(A,p)]_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{t^\beta}{\beta!}, \tag{31}$$

where $\alpha\in O_p$, $t\in\mathbb{R}^d$. It can be verified that

$$\alpha![S(A,p)]_{\alpha,\beta} = \beta![S(A^*,p)]_{\beta,\alpha}. \tag{32}$$

The above notations and the latter fact was borrowed [12].

Fix $\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of ordered samples with replacement of size $[\beta]$ from the set $\{e_1,\dots,e_d\}$, where e_k is the k-th ort in $\mathbb{R}^d$. An element $e\in\mathcal{E}$ is a set $\{e_{i_1},\dots,e_{i_{[\beta]}}\}$, where $i_l\in\{1,\dots,d\}$, $l=1,\dots,[\beta]$, $\#\mathcal{E}=d^{[\beta]}$. For $e\in\mathcal{E}$ denote by $(e)_l := e_{i_l}$, $l=1,\dots,[\beta]$. Let T be a function defined on $\mathcal{E}$ by $T(e):=\sum_{i=1}^{[\beta]}(e)_i$. Note that $T(e)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d$ and $[T(e)]=[\beta]$. Denote by b an element of $\mathcal{E}$ so that $T(b)=\beta$. Such b is unique up to a permutation. Using the higher chain rule, we have

$$D^\beta[f(Ax)] = \frac{\partial^{[\beta]}f(A\cdot)}{\partial x^\beta}(x) = \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E}}\frac{\partial^{[\beta]}f(y)}{\partial y^{T(e)}}\Big|_{y=Ax}\prod_{i=1}^{[\beta]}\frac{\partial(Ax)^{(e)_i}}{\partial x^{(b)_i}},\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\prod_{i=1}^{[\beta]}\frac{\partial(Ax)^{(e)_i}}{\partial x^{(b)_i}}$ does not depend on x and $D^\beta[f(Ax)]$ does not depend on the choice of b. For different elements $e,h\in\mathcal{E}$, we may have $T(e)=T(h)$. Thus, we can group terms in the sum with equal values of $T(\cdot)$. Namely,

$$D^\beta[f(Ax)] = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,[\alpha]=[\beta]}\frac{\partial^{[\beta]}f(y)}{\partial y^\alpha}\Big|_{y=Ax}\sum_{e\in\mathcal{E},T(e)=\alpha}\prod_{i=1}^{[\beta]}\frac{\partial(Ax)^{(e)_i}}{\partial x^{(b)_i}}. \tag{33}$$

If $f(x) = e^{2\pi i(t,x)}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $D^\beta[f(Ax)] = D^\beta e^{2\pi i(A^*t,x)} = (A^*t)^\beta e^{2\pi i(t,Ax)}$. On the other hand, by (33),

$$D^\beta[f(Ax)] = e^{2\pi i(t,Ax)} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+,[\alpha]=[\beta]} t^\alpha \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E},T(e)=\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^{[\beta]} \frac{\partial (Ax)^{(e)_i}}{\partial x^{(b)_i}}.$$

Thus, due to (31) with matrix A replaced by A^*, we obtain

$$\sum_{e\in\mathcal{E},T(e)=\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^{[\beta]} \frac{\partial (Ax)^{(e)_i}}{\partial x^{(b)_i}} = [S(A^*,p)]_{\beta,\alpha}\frac{\beta!}{\alpha!}. \tag{34}$$

Let now f be an arbitrary function continuously differentiable up to order N, $0 \le p \le N$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It follows from (32) and (34) that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in O_p} \frac{D^\alpha f(Ax)}{\alpha!}(At)^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha\in O_p} D^\alpha f(Ax) \sum_{\beta\in O_p} [S(A,p)]_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{t^\beta}{\beta!} = \sum_{\beta\in O_p} t^\beta \sum_{\alpha\in O_p} D^\alpha f(Ax)\frac{[S(A^*,p)]_{\beta,\alpha}}{\alpha!} =$$

$$\sum_{\beta\in O_p} \frac{t^\beta}{\beta!} \sum_{\alpha\in O_p} D^\alpha f(Ax) \sum_{e\in\mathcal{E},T(e)=\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^{[\beta]} \frac{\partial (Ax)^{(e)_i}}{\partial x^{(b)_i}} = \sum_{\beta\in O_p} \frac{t^\beta}{\beta!} D^\beta[f(Ax)].$$

It remains to sum the latter expression over p from 0 to N. $\diamond$

Let

$$Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(k) = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_{N+1}} a_\beta D^\beta[f(M^{-j}\cdot)](k), \quad a_\beta = \frac{1}{\beta! V_h}\int\limits_{B_h} t^\beta dt. \tag{35}$$

By Lemma 10, we have

$$Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(k) = \frac{1}{V_h}\int\limits_{B_h} \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{D^\beta[f(M^{-j}\cdot)](k)}{\beta!} t^\beta dt =$$

$$\frac{1}{V_h}\int\limits_{B_h} \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{D^\beta f(M^{-j}k)}{\beta!}(M^{-j}t)^\beta dt = \frac{m^j}{V_h}\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h} \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_{N+1}} \frac{D^\beta f(M^{-j}k)}{\beta!} t^\beta dt, \tag{36}$$

and, due to the Tailor formula,

$$\frac{m^j}{V_h}\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h} f(M^{-j}k+t)dt \approx Lf(M^{-j})(k).$$

We now are interested in error analysis for *falsified sampling expansions*

$$m^{-j/2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_h(f,M^{-j}k)\,\varphi_{jk} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{m^{j/2}}{V_h}\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h} f(M^{-j}k+t)dt\,\varphi_{jk}.$$

To investigate the convergence and approximation order of falsified sampling expansions we can use Theorem 8 or Theorem 9, and estimate the sum $m^{-j/2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\varepsilon_j(-k)\,\varphi_{jk}$, where

$$\varepsilon_j(k) := \frac{m^j}{V_h}\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h} f(M^{-j}k+t)dt - Lf(M^{-j}\cdot)(k). \tag{37}$$

Theorem 11 *Let $d < p \le \infty$, $h > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$. Suppose $f \in W_p^{N+1}$, operator L is defined by (35), $\varepsilon_j(k)$ is defined by (37). Then*

$$\left\| m^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varepsilon_j(-k) \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \le C\theta^{-j(N+1)}. \tag{38}$$

for every positive number θ which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of M and some C which does not depend on j.

Proof. Let fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to Proposition 2,

$$\left\| m^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varepsilon_j(-k) \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p = m^{-j/p} \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varepsilon_j(-k) \varphi_{0k} \right\|_p \le m^{-j/p} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_p} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\varepsilon_j(k)|^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{39}$$

By the Taylor formula with integral remainder, we have

$$f(M^{-j}k + t) = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{N+1}} \frac{D^\beta f(M^{-j}k)}{\beta!} t^\beta + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{N+1}{\beta!} t^\beta \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^N D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + t\tau) d\tau.$$

It follows from (36) that

$$\varepsilon_j(k) = \frac{m^j}{V_h} \int_{M^{-j}B_h} dt \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{N+1}{\beta!} t^\beta \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^N D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + t\tau)\, d\tau.$$

Hence, taking into account that $|t^\beta| \le |t|^{[\beta]}$, we get

$$|\varepsilon_j(k)| \le \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{N+1}{\beta!} \frac{m^j}{V_h} \int_{M^{-j}B_h} |t|^{[\beta]} dt \int_0^1 |D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + t\tau)| d\tau \le$$

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{N+1}{\beta!} \frac{m^j}{V_h} \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\tau M^{-j}B_h} \frac{|t|^{[\beta]}}{\tau^{[\beta]}} |D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + t)| \frac{dt}{\tau^d} =$$

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{N+1}{\beta! V_h} \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\tau B_h} |M^{-j}t|^{[\beta]} |D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + M^{-j}t)| \frac{dt}{\tau^{[\beta]+d}}.$$

The latter integration is taken over the set $\{\tau \in [0,1], t \in \tau B_h\} = \{\tau \in [0,1], |t| \le \tau h\}$, or equivalently $\{|t| \in [0,h], \frac{|t|}{h} \le \tau \le 1\}$. Changing the order of integration, we obtain

$$|\varepsilon_j(k)| \le \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{N+1}{\beta! V_h} \int_{B_h} |M^{-j}t|^{[\beta]} |D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + M^{-j}t)| dt \int_{\frac{|t|}{h}}^1 \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{N+d+1}} \le$$

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d, [\beta] = N+1} \frac{2}{\beta! V_h} \int_{B_h} |M^{-j}t|^{N+1} |D^\beta f(M^{-j}k + M^{-j}t)| \left(\frac{h}{|t|} \right)^{N+d} dt \le$$

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,[\beta]=N+1}\frac{2h^{N+d}\|M^{-j}\|^{N+1}}{\beta!V_h}\int\limits_{B_h}\frac{|D^\beta f(M^{-j}k+M^{-j}t)|}{|t|^{d-1}}dt=$$
$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,[\beta]=N+1}\frac{2h^{N+d}m^j\|M^{-j}\|^{N+1}}{\beta!V_h}\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h}\frac{|D^\beta f(M^{-j}k+t)|}{|M^jt|^{d-1}}dt.$$

Using Hölders's inequality, we have

$$\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h}\frac{|D^\beta f(M^{-j}k+t)|}{|M^jt|^{d-1}}dt\le\left(\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h}|D^\beta f(M^{-j}k+t)|^pdt\right)^{1/p}\left(\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h}\frac{dt}{|M^jt|^{q(d-1)}}\right)^{1/q},$$

where $q=\frac{p}{p-1}$. Since

$$\int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h}\frac{dt}{|M^jt|^{q(d-1)}}\le m^{-j}\int\limits_{B_h}\frac{dt}{|t|^{q(d-1)}}$$

and $q(d-1)<d$, the latter integral is finite. Summarizing the above estimates we obtain

$$|\varepsilon_j(k)|^p\le C_1m^j\|M^{-j}\|^{p(N+1)}\int\limits_{M^{-j}k+M^{-j}B_h}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d,[\beta]=N+1}|D^\beta f(t)|^pdt$$

where C_1 does not depend on f and j. It follows that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\varepsilon_j(k)|^p\le C_1m^j\|M^{-j}\|^{p(N+1)}\|f\|^p_{W_p^{N+1}}.$$

Combining this with (39) and (5), we get (38). $\diamond$

Remark 12 *If M is an isotropic matrix for which λ is an eigenvalue, then in the proof of Theorem 11 we can use inequality (7) instead of (5). Hence inequality (38) can be replaced by*

$$\left\|m^{-j/2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\varepsilon_j(-k)\varphi_{jk}\right\|_p\le C|\lambda|^{-j(N+1)}.$$

Using the above theorem we can state the convergence and approximation order of falsified sampling expansions.

Theorem 13 *Let $d<p\le\infty$, $1/p+1/q=1$, $h>0$, $N\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, M be an isotropic matrix dilation and λ be its eigenvalue. Suppose $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the distribution associated with the differential operator L given by (35), φ and n are as in Theorem 8; $f=\widehat{g}^-$, where $g\in L_q$, $g(\xi)=O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi|\to\infty$, $\varepsilon>1$. Then*

$$\left\|f-m^{-j/2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathbf{Av}_h(f,M^{-j}k)\,\varphi_{jk}\right\|_p\le\begin{cases}C|\lambda|^{-j(N+1)} & \text{if } n>N+1\\ C|\lambda|^{-jn} & \text{if } n\le N+1\end{cases},\tag{40}$$

where C does not depend on j.

Theorem 14 *Let $d<p\le\infty$, $1/p+1/q=1$, $h>0$, $N\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. Suppose $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the distribution associated with the differential operator L given by (35), φ is as in Theorem 9; $f=\widehat{g}^-$, where $g\in L_q$, $g(\xi)=O(|\xi|^{-N-d-\varepsilon})$ as $|\xi|\to\infty$, $\varepsilon>1$. Then*

$$\left\|f-m^{-j/2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathbf{Av}_h(f,M^{-j}k)\,\varphi_{jk}\right\|_p\le C\theta^{-j(N+1)},\tag{41}$$

for every positive number θ which is smaller in module than any eigenvalue of M and some C which does not depend on j.

Observing the proof of Theorem 11, one can see that in the one-dimensional case an analog of (38) holds true for a wider class of functions f and any $p \geq 1$. Indeed, in this case M is a dilation factor, let $M > 0$. Then we have

$$|\varepsilon(k)| \leq \frac{2}{(N+1)!V_h} \int\limits_{B_h} |M^{-j}t|^{N+1} |f^{(N+1)}(M^{-j}k + M^{-j}t)| \left(\frac{h}{|t|}\right)^{N+1} dt \leq$$

$$\frac{2h^{N+1}M^{-j(N+1)}}{(N+1)!V_h} \int\limits_{B_h} |f^{(N+1)}(M^{-j}k + M^{-j}t)| dt = \frac{2h^{N+1}M^{-jN}}{(N+1)!V_h} \int\limits_{M^{-j}B_h + M^{-j}k} |f^{(N+1)}(t)| dt.$$

It follows that

$$\left\| m^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon(-k) \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \leq m^{-j/p} \|\varphi\|_p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varepsilon(k)| \leq C_1 \|f\|_{W_1^{N+1}} M^{-j(N+\frac{1}{p})},$$

where C_1 does not depend on f and j. This yields the following statements.

Theorem 15 *Let $d = 1$, $p \geq 1$, $h > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in L_p$, Suppose $f \in W_1^{N+1}$, $\varepsilon(k)$ is defined by (37). Then*

$$\left\| M^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon(-k) \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \leq C M^{-j(N+\frac{1}{p})}. \tag{42}$$

where C does not depend on j.

Theorem 16 *Let $d = 1$, $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, $h > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,. Suppose $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the distribution associated with a differential operator L given by (35), φ and n are as in Theorem 8, $f \in W_1^{N+1}$, $f^{(N+1)} \in Lip\,\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then*

$$\left\| f - m^{-j/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{Av}_h(f, M^{-j}k)\, \varphi_{jk} \right\|_p \leq \begin{cases} C|\lambda|^{-j(N+\frac{1}{p})} & \text{if } n > N + \frac{1}{p} \\ C|\lambda|^{-jn} & \text{if } n \leq N + \frac{1}{p} \end{cases}, \tag{43}$$

where C does not depend on j.

7 Examples

In this section some examples will be given to illustrate the obtained results.

Firstly we discuss construction of band-limited functions φ. Theoretically, for every differential operator L one can easily construct compactly supported $\widehat{\varphi} \in C^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}} = 1$ on a small neighborhood of zero. All assumptions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. The approximation order of the corresponding expansions depends on how smooth is the function f. However such expansions are not good from the computational point of view. We will not able to derive explicit formulas for φ which is needed for implementations.

For arbitrary differential operator L and arbitrary n, we can construct φ satisfying all assumptions of Theorem 8 as follows. Let $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be the distribution associated with L, T be a trigonometric polynomial such that T and all its derivatives up to order $d+1$ vanish on the boundary of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{T}^d$ and $D^\beta(1 - T\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $[\beta] < n$. Define $\widehat{\varphi}$ as the restriction of T onto $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{T}^d$. The approximation order of corresponding expansions depends on how smooth is the function f but cannot be better than n. Deriving explicit formulas for φ is possible in this case, but they will be too bulky. Probably such a way is also not appropriate for applications.

We now present examples which can be useful in practice.

I. For sampling expansions we can take $\widetilde{\varphi} = \delta$ and $\varphi(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\sin \pi x_k}{\pi x_k}\right)^2$. The corresponding expansion of f interpolates f at the points $M^{-j}k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Since $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^{d}(1 - |\xi_k|)\chi_{[-1,1]^d}(\xi)$, the function $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q$ is bounded and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$. The Strang-Fix condition for φ of order 1 is valid. So, all assumptions of Theorem 8 are satisfied, but the approximation order cannot be better than $n = 1$ by this theorem. $\diamond$

II. Now we illustrate Theorem 9 by improving the previous example. Let $\psi(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\sin \pi x_k}{\pi x_k}\right)^2$. Define $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = 2\widehat{\psi}(2\xi) - \widehat{\psi}(4\xi)$. Thus, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous, has its support inside $\mathbb{T}^d$ and equal to 1 for all $\xi \in [1/4, 1/4]^d$, the function $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q$ is bounded. Clearly, $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}}\psi(\frac{x}{2}) - \frac{1}{4^d}\psi(\frac{x}{4})$ and therefore $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_p$. Taking again $\widetilde{\varphi} = \delta$ we obtain a sampling expansion with approximation order depending on how smooth is f, according to (29).

Note that the same function $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is associated with the differential operator $Lf = f + \sum_{[\beta]=1} a_\beta D^\beta f$, where $a_\beta = 0$. Hence the functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ satisfy all conditions of Theorem 13 with $n = 2$, $N = 1$ and arbitrary $h > 0$, and, according to (41), or (40) in the case of isotropic M, the approximation order of the corresponding falsified sampling expansions is 2 for smooth enough functions f. $\diamond$

We now are interested in compactly supported functions φ which have an advantage for applications because the corresponding sampling and differential expansions are finite.

III. Let

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\sin \pi x_k}{\pi x_k}\right)^2.$$

Since $\varphi(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{d}(1 - |\xi_k|)\chi_{[-1,1]^d}(\xi)$, φ is compactly supported and in $\mathcal{L}_p$. Also, the function $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q$ is bounded, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuously differentiable up to any order, the function $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} |D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(\xi + l)|$ is bounded near the origin for $[\beta] = 2$. Also, the Strang-Fix condition of order 2 holds for φ. The values of $\widehat{\varphi}$ and its derivatives at the origin are

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0}) = 1, \quad D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{0}) = 0, \quad [\beta] = 1.$$

So, if $\widetilde{\varphi} = \delta$, then all assumptions of Theorem 8 are satisfied. The corresponding sampling expansion of a signal f interpolates f at the points $M^{-j}k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the approximation order depends on how smooth is f, but, according to (28), it cannot be better than 2. Again $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is associated with the differential operator $Lf = f + \sum_{[\beta]=1} a_\beta D^\beta f$, where $a_\beta = 0$. Hence the functions $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}$ satisfy all conditions of Theorem 13 with $n = 2$, $N = 1$ and arbitrary $h > 0$, and, according to (40), the approximation order of the corresponding falsified sampling expansions is 2 for smooth enough functions f. $\diamond$

IV. Let $d = 2$,

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{1}{(\pi^2 \xi_1 \xi_2)^3} \left(\sin^3 \pi\xi_1 \sin^3 \pi\xi_2 + b_1 \sin^3 \pi\xi_1 \sin^4 \pi\xi_2 + b_2 \sin^4 \pi\xi_1 \sin^3 \pi\xi_2\right)$$

Again φ is compactly supported and in $\mathcal{L}_p$. Also, the function $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + k)|^q$ is bounded, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuously differentiable up to any order. Since the trigonometric polynomial in the numerator of $\widehat{\varphi}$ is bounded, the function $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} |D^\beta \widehat{\varphi}(\xi + l)|$ is bounded near the origin for $[\beta] = 3$. Also, the Strang-Fix condition of order 3 holds for φ. The values of $\widehat{\varphi}$ and its derivatives at the origin are

$$\widehat{\varphi}(0,0) = 1, \quad D^{(1,0)}\widehat{\varphi}(0,0) = D^{(0,1)}\widehat{\varphi}(0,0) = 0.$$

$$D^{(2,0)}\widehat{\varphi}(0,0) = \pi^2(2b_1 - 1), \quad D^{(0,2)}\widehat{\varphi}(0,0) = \pi^2(2b_2 - 1), \quad D^{(1,1)}\widehat{\varphi}(0,0) = 0.$$

Now, we choose an appropriate differential operator L in the form $Lf = f + a_{(2,0)}D^{(2,0)}f + a_{(0,2)}D^{(0,2)}f$, or equivalently, the associated distribution $\widetilde{\varphi} = \delta + \overline{a_{(2,0)}}D^{(2,0)}\delta + \overline{a_{(0,2)}}D^{(0,2)}\delta$. Since

$\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi) = 1 - 4\pi^2\overline{a_{(2,0)}}\xi_1 - 4\pi^2\overline{a_{(0,2)}}\xi_2$, we have

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0,0) = 1, \quad D^{(2,0)}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0,0) = -4\pi^2\overline{a_{(2,0)}}, \quad D^{(0,2)}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0,0) = -4\pi^2\overline{a_{(0,2)}},$$

$$D^{(1,0)}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0,0) = D^{(0,1)}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0,0) = D^{(1,1)}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0,0) = 0.$$

To satisfy condition $D^\beta(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})(0,0) = 0$ for $\beta \in \Delta_3$ we have to provide

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 4\overline{a_{(2,0)}}), \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 4\overline{a_{(0,2)}}).$$

Finally, all conditions of Theorem 8 are satisfied. The approximation order depends on how smooth is f, but, according to (28), it cannot be better than $n = 3$.

We now show that the coefficients b_1, b_2 can be chosen such that all conditions of Theorem 13 are satisfied with $n = 3$, $N = 2$ and arbitrary $h > 0$. In this case the differential operator L is given by (35). The coefficients a_β, $\beta \in \Delta_3$ are as follows

$$a_{0,0} = 1, \quad a_{1,0} = a_{0,1} = a_{1,1} = 0, \quad a_{2,0} = a_{0,2} = \frac{1}{8}h^2.$$

Thus, we set $b_1 = b_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{2}h^2)$. According to (40), the approximation order of the corresponding falsified sampling expansions is 3 for smooth enough functions f. $\diamond$

V. Let $d = 1$,

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \frac{\sin^4 \pi\xi + b_1 \sin^5 \pi\xi + b_2 \sin^6 \pi\xi + b_3 \sin^7 \pi\xi}{(\pi\xi)^4}.$$

Since φ is bounded and compactly supported, it is in $\mathcal{L}_p$, and $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuously differentiable up to any order. Also, $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi+k)|^q$ is bounded. Since the trigonometric polynomial in the numerator of $\widehat{\varphi}$ is bounded, the function $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d, l\neq 0} |D^\beta\widehat{\varphi}(\xi + l)|$ is bounded near the origin for $\beta = 4$. Also the Strang-Fix condition of order 4 holds for φ. The values of $\widehat{\varphi}$ and its derivatives at the origin are

$$\widehat{\varphi}(0) = 1, \quad \widehat{\varphi}'(0) = b_1\pi, \quad \widehat{\varphi}''(0) = \frac{2}{3}\pi^2(3b_2 - 2), \quad \widehat{\varphi}'''(0) = \pi^3(6b_3 - 5b_1)$$

Now, we choose the appropriate differential operator L in the form $Lf = f + a_1 f' + a_2 f'' + a_3 f'''$, or equivalently, the associated distribution $\widetilde{\varphi} = \delta - \overline{a_1}\delta' + \overline{a_2}\delta'' - \overline{a_3}\delta'''$. Since $\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\xi) = 1 - 2\pi i\overline{a_1}\xi - 4\pi^2\overline{a_2}\xi^2 + 8\pi^3 i\overline{a_3}\xi^3$, we have

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}(0) = 1, \quad \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}'(0) = -2\pi i\overline{a_1}, \quad \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}''(0) = -8\pi^2\overline{a_2}, \quad \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}'''(0) = 48\pi^3 i\overline{a_3}$$

To satisfy condition $D^\beta(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})(0) = 0$ for $\beta = 0, 1, 2, 3$ we have to provide

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})'(0) &= \pi(b_1 - 2i\overline{a_1}) = 0, \\
(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})''(0) &= \frac{2}{3}\pi^2(-2 + 3b_2 - 12\overline{a_2} - 6i\overline{a_1}b_1) = 0, \\
(1 - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}})'''(0) &= -\pi^3(5b_1 - 6b_3 + 4i(3b_2 - 2)\overline{a_1} + 24b_1\overline{a_2} - 48i\overline{a_3}) = 0. \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the coefficients of the function $\widehat{\varphi}$ can be easily successively found using the coefficients of the differential operator L. Finally, all conditions of Theorem 8 are satisfied. The approximation order depends on how smooth is f, but, according to (28) with $|\lambda| = |M|$, it cannot be better than $n = 4$.

We now show that the coefficients b_1, b_2, b_3 can be chosen such that all conditions of Theorem 13 are satisfied with $n = 4$, $N = 3$ and arbitrary $h > 0$. In this case the differential operator $Lf = a_0 f + a_1 f' + a_2 f'' + a_3 f'''$ is given by (35) and its coefficients are defined as

$$a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = \frac{h^2}{6}, a_3 = 0.$$

Using (44), we set $b_1 = 0, b_2 = -\frac{2}{3}h^2 - \frac{2}{3}, b_3 = 0$. According to (40), the approximation order of the corresponding falsified sampling expansions is 4 for smooth enough functions f.

Note that all conditions of Theorem 16 are also satisfied, which provides approximation order for a wider class of functions f. Namely, according to (43), the approximation order is $3 + \frac{1}{p}$, whenever $f \in W_1^4$, $f^{(IV)} \in Lip\,\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. $\diamond$

References

[1] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, and G. Vinti, Approximation error of the Whittaker cardinal series in terms of an averaged modulus of smoothness covering discontinuous signals, *Math. Anal. Appl.* **316** (2006), no. 1, 269–306.

[2] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, and G. Vinti, Prediction by samples from the past with error estimates covering discontinuous signals, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **56** (2010), no. 1, 614–633.

[3] E. Borel, Sur l'interpolation, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **124** (1897), 673–676.

[4] J. L. Brown, Jr., On the error in reconstructing a non-bandlimited function by means of the bandpass sampling theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1967), 75-84.

[5] P. L. Butzer, J. R. Higgins, and R. L. Stens, Classical and approximate sampling theorems: studies in the $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ and the uniform norm, *J. Approx. Theory* **137** (2005), no. 2, 250–263.

[6] P. L. Butzer, P. J. S. G. Ferreira, G. Schmeisser, and R. L. Stens, The summation formulae of Euler-Maclaurin, Abel-Plana, Poisson, and their interconnections with the approximate sampling formula of signal analysis, *Results Math.* **59** (2011), no. 3–4, 359–400.

[7] P. L. Butzer, M. M. Dodson, P. J. S. G. Ferreira, J. R. Higgins, O. Lange, P. Seidler, and R. L. Stens, Multiplex signal transmission and the development of sampling techniques: the work of Herbert Raabe in contrast to that of Claude Shannon, *Appl. Anal.* **90** (2011), no. 3–4, 643–688.

[8] P. L. Butzer, P. J. S. G. Ferreira, J. R. Higgins, G. Schmeisser, and R. L. Stens, The sampling theorem, Poisson's summation formula, general Parseval formula, reproducing kernel formula and the Paley-Wiener theorem for bandlimited signalstheir interconnections, *Appl. Anal.* **90** (2011), no. 3–4, 431–461.

[9] P. L. Butzer and R. L. Stens, Reconstruction of signals in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-space by generalized sampling series based on linear combinations of B-splines, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **19** (2008), no. 1, 35–58.

[10] P. L. Butzer and J. Lei, Approximation of signals using measured sampled values and error analysis, *Communication in Applied Analysis*, **4** (2000), no 2, 245-255.

[11] A. L. Cauchy, Me'moire sur diverses formules d'analyse, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **12** (1841), 283–298.

[12] B. Han, Computing the Smoothness Exponent of a Symmetric Multivariate Refinable Function, *SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. & Appl.*, **24**(3) (2003) 693-714.

[13] K. Jetter and D. X. Zhou, Order of linear approximation from shift invariant spaces, *Constr. Approx.*, **11** (4) (1995) 423-438

[14] K. Jetter and D. X. Zhou, Order of linear approximation on finitely generated shift invariant spaces", preprint, (1998)

[15] R.-Q. Jia, C.A. Micchelli, Using the refinement equations for the construction of pre-wavelets II: Powers of two, P.J. Laurent, A. Le M?haut?, L.L. Schumaker (Eds.), Curves and Surfaces, Academic Press, New York (1991), 209246.

[16] R.-Q. Jia, Approximation properties of multivariate wavelets, *Math. Comp.,* **67** (222) (1998) 647-665.

[17] V. A. Kotelnikov, On the transmission capacity of the 'ether'and of cables in electrical communications, *in* "Proc. of the First All-Union Conference on the Technological Reconstruction of the Communications Sector and Low-current Engineering", Izd. Red. Upr. Svyazzi RKKA, 1933 [in Russian].

[18] A. Krivoshein, M. Skopina, Approximation by frame-like wavelet systems, *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, **31** (2011), No. 3, 410-428

[19] I. Ya. Novikov, V. Yu. Protasov and M. A. Skopina, Wavelet Theory, Amer. Math. Soc, 2011.

[20] K. Ogura, On a certain transcendetal integral function in the theory of interpolation, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **17** (1920), 64–72.

[21] C. E. Shannon, Communication in the presence of noise, *Proc. IRE* **37** (1949), 10–21.

[22] M. Skopina, Band-limited scaling and wavelet expansions, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **36** (2014), 143–157.

[23] M. Skopina, Approximation by Band-limited Scaling and Wavelet Expansions, Proceedings of International Conferences CONSTUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, Sozopol 2013, to appear.

[24] R. L. Stens, Sampling with generalized kernels, *in* "Sampling Theory in Fourier and Signal Analysis: Advanced Topics" (J. R. Higgins and R. L. Stens, Eds.), Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1999.

[25] A. Yu. Trynin, On the divergence of sinc-approximations everywhere on $(0, \pi)$, *St. Petersburg Math. J.,* **22** (2011), no. 4, 683-701

[26] V. S. Vladimirov, Generalized functions in mathematical physics, MIR, 1979. (Translated from Russian).

[27] E. T. Whittaker, On the functions which are represented by the expansion of the interpolation-theory, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **35** (1915), 181–194.